

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,661	12/28/2001	Jerry L. Mizell	5565.16-1	4611
23559	7590 04/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, P.C. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DOCKET CLERK 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 4000 DALLAS, TX 75202-2790			NGUYEN, DUC MINH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 1
			AKI ONII	
			2643	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		0/035,661	MIZELL ET AL.			
		kaminer	Art Unit			
		uc Nguyen	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the set of the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) communication. irty (30) days, a reply with statutory period will ap reply will, by statute, caus inths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply in the statutory minimum of thirty (30 oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS se the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on		•			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in (4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to see the subject to results.	is/are withdrawn f					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is, Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is object.	yare: a) accepte objection to the drav iding the correction i	ving(s) be held in abeyance. s required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.</li> </ol>			il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 19-21, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liebowitz et al (5,812,545).

Consider claim 1. Liebowitz teaches a method of performing traffic volume accounting in a mobile telecommunication system, comprising reading a service marking (voice, data, video in associated with CIR, EIR or ABR services) included in a first packet received by a first node (terminal 12 in associated with NMM 78 and NMC 13; col. 7, ln. 45 to col. 8, ln. 45; col. 19, ln. 40-50) of the telecommunication system (10); and performing a first increment to a counter in a first traffic volume container of a call detail record (see figs 10-12; col. 20, ln. 1-17), the first increment indicative of a volume of data in the first packet (prime hour kilo-characters sent and non-prime hour kilo characters sent), the first traffic volume container dedicated to a service associated with the service marking (figs. 9-12, col. 17, ln. 34 to col. 20, ln. 30).

Consider claim 2. Liebowitz further teaches that billing system (190) processes the CDR files (196) to generate customer invoices (col. 18, ln. 25-37; col.19, ln. 51-67). It is noted that CDR files are referred to CDR of call has been terminated or completed.

Consider claim 3. Liebowitz further teaches reading a differentiated service codepoint in the first packet by the first node (service class; col. 7, ln. 45 to col. 8, ln. 45; col. 10, ln. 26-47).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 4. Figs. 6-7B; col. 10, ln. 3 to col. 17, ln. 33 read on the limitations of this claim.

Consider claim 5. Liebowitz further teaches the earth terminal (12) are operate bursts to transmit user data via a satellite (i.e., writing requested service in the packet), to process data received from the satellite, and to transmit received data to the addressed user access devices (col. 2, ln. 30-38) that read on writing service marking (requesting services) by the first node into the first packet prior to reading the service marking.

Consider claims 6-7. Figs. 1-3 show that at least one terminal (12) in combination with the NMC (13) and billing system (190) support more than one earth terminal (12). Therefore, Liebowitz teachings as applied in claim 1 are also applied to the other earth terminal.

Consider claims 19-21. Liebowitz teaches a node of a network for performing billing procedures on call detail records, comprising a processing unit (198); memory unit (RAM or ROM is inherently associated with the computer 198); an interface for receiving the CDR (billing computer 198 receives CDR files from network management system 210). Liebowitz further teaches a method of performing traffic volume accounting in a mobile telecommunication system, comprising reading a service marking (voice, data, video in associated with CIR, EIR or ABR services) included in a first packet received by a first node (terminal 12 in associated with NMM 78 and NMC 13; col. 7, ln. 45 to col. 8, ln. 45; col. 19, ln. 40-50) of the telecommunication system (10); and performing a first increment to a counter in a first traffic volume container of a call detail record (see figs 10-12; col. 20, ln. 1-17), the first increment indicative of a volume of data in the first packet (prime hour kilo-characters sent and non-prime hour kilo-characters sent), the first traffic volume container dedicated to a service associated with

Art Unit: 2643

Page 4

the service marking (figs. 9-12, col. 17, ln. 34 to col. 20, ln. 30). Liebowitz further teaches that the billing system (190) keeps track of an originator (e.g., the requestor, user, customer or caller; col. 18, ln. 59 to col. 19, ln. 50).

Consider claim 23. Figs. 1-2 show a plurality of mobile terminal (12; col. 2, ln. 1-20, ln. 30-38).

Consider claim 24. Figs 10-12 read on the limitations of this claim, since the call detail record include other traffic volume container (frame relay PVCs, CIR, EIR, Ethernet and voice, etc., col. 19, ln. 59 to col. 20, ln. 17), the tariff dependent on the id being independent of the other traffic volume container.

3. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D'Amico et al (5,579,379).

Consider claims 25-29. D'Amico teaches a method of levying a tariff for data delivered from an originator to a terminal device in a telecommunication network (see fig. 1; col. 10, ln. 5-15), comprising reading an identifier in a packet (col. 8, ln. 55 to col. 9, ln. 25; col. 13, ln. 14-55); determining a correspondence with the identifier and the originator of the data (col. 27, ln. 57 to col. 30, ln. 8); and levying the tariff against the originator (col. 27, ln. 48 to col. 29, ln. 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2643

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebowitz et al (5,812,545) in view of D'Amico et al (5,579,379).

Consider claim 8. Liebowitz does not clearly teach reading an identifier in a packet; determining a correspondence with the identifier and the originator of the data.

D'Amico teaches reading an identifier in a packet (col. 8, ln. 55 to col. 9, ln. 25; col. 13, ln. 14-55); determining a correspondence with the identifier and the originator of the data (col. 27, ln. 57 to col. 30, ln. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of D'Amico into the teachings of Liebowitz in order to facilitate seamless communication, a calling party pays feature would be utilized to prevent de-activation of a subscriber's portable handset as is normally done to prevent accruing charges for incoming calls over the cellular network.

Consider claim 22. D'Amico further teaches the tariff can be levied against either the originator or the terminating device (col. 20, ln. 36 to col. 30, ln. 8).

6. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebowitz et al (5,812,545) in view of Jobst et al (6,707,915).

Consider claims 9-10. Liebowitz does not teach the use of a hash algorithm for authenticating an originator.

Jobst teaches the use of a hash algorithm for authenticating an originator (col. 2, ln. 34-64).

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Jobst into the teachings of Liebowitz in order to ensure that the activity of the terminal does not interact with the network or other types of electronic equipment in an unintended or unfavorable manner.

7. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebowitz et al (5,812,545).

Consider claims 11, 14-15. Liebowitz teaches a mobile telecommunication network comprising a first service node (terminal 12, RF unit 56) including an interface to a data network (16); a base station subsystem (terminal 12, TDMA modem, PCD 52, FAD 66; col. 3, ln. 58 to col. 4, ln. 14) operable to transmit data to the first service node and receive data from the first service node; and at least one base transceiver station (terminal 12, TDMA modem, PCD 52, FAD 66) operable to provide links to addressed user access devices (PC, telephone, PBX), the first service node operable to reading a service marking (voice, data, video in associated with CIR, EIR or ABR services) included in a first packet received by a first node (terminal 12 in associated with NMM 78 and NMC 13; col. 7, ln. 45 to col. 8, ln. 45; col. 19, ln. 40-50) of the telecommunication system (10); and performing a first increment to a counter in a first traffic volume container of a call detail record (see figs 10-12; col. 20, ln. 1-17), the first increment indicative of a volume of data in the first packet (prime hour kilo-characters sent and non-prime hour kilo characters sent), the first traffic volume container dedicated to a service associated with the service marking (figs. 9-12, col. 17, ln. 34 to col. 20, ln. 30). Leibowitz does not teach that the at least one base transceiver station operable to provide RF links to mobile devices.

Art Unit: 2643

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Liebowitz teachings to include mobile device in place of conventional addressed user access devices as taught by Leibowitz in order to provider mobility feature to the end user.

Consider claim 12. Figs. 1-3 show that at least one terminal (12) in combination with the NMC (13) and billing system (190) support more than one earth terminal (12). Therefore, Liebowitz teachings as taught in claim 11 are also applied to the other earth terminal.

Consider claim 13. Liebowitz further teaches that billing system (190) processes the CDR files (196) to generate customer invoices (col. 18, ln. 25-37; col.19, ln. 51-67). It is noted that CDR files are referred to CDR of call has been terminated, closed or completed.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebowitz et al (5,812,545) in view of D'Amico et al (5,579,379).

Consider claim 16. Liebowitz does not clearly teach reading an identifier in a packet; determining a correspondence with the identifier and the originator of the data.

D'Amico teaches reading an identifier in a packet (col. 8, ln. 55 to col. 9, ln. 25; col. 13, ln. 14-55); determining a correspondence with the identifier and the originator of the data (col. 27, ln. 57 to col. 30, ln. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of D'Amico into the teachings of Liebowitz in order to facilitate seamless communication, a calling party pays feature would be utilized to prevent de-activation of a subscriber's portable handset as is normally done to prevent accruing charges for incoming calls over the cellular network.

Art Unit: 2643

9. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebowitz et al (5,812,545) in view of D'Amico et al (5,579,379) as applied to claims 11, 16 above, and further in view of Jobst et al (6,707,915).

Consider claims 17-18. Liebowitz does not teach the use of a hash algorithm for authenticating an originator.

Jobst teaches the use of a hash algorithm for authenticating an originator (col. 2, ln. 34-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Jobst into the teachings of Liebowitz in order to ensure that the activity of the terminal does not interact with the network or other types of electronic equipment in an unintended or unfavorable manner.

10. Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Amico et al (5,579,379) in view of Liebowitz et al (5,812,545).

Consider claims 30-34. D'Amico does not teach the limitations of claims 30-34.

Liebowitz teaches a node of a network for performing billing procedures on call detail records, comprising a processing unit (198); memory unit (RAM or ROM is inherently associated with the computer 198); an interface for receiving the CDR (billing computer 198 receives CDR files from network management system 210). Liebowitz further teaches a method of performing traffic volume accounting in a mobile telecommunication system, comprising reading a service marking (voice, data, video in associated with CIR, EIR or ABR services)

Art Unit: 2643

Page 9

included in a first packet received by a first node (terminal 12 in associated with NMM 78 and NMC 13; col. 7, ln. 45 to col. 8, ln. 45; col. 19, ln. 40-50) of the telecommunication system (10); and performing a first increment to a counter in a first traffic volume container of a call detail record (see figs 10-12; col. 20, ln. 1-17), the first increment indicative of a volume of data in the first packet (prime hour kilo-characters sent and non-prime hour kilo-characters sent), the first traffic volume container dedicated to a service associated with the service marking (figs. 9-12, col. 17, ln. 34 to col. 20, ln. 30). Liebowitz further teaches that the billing system (190) keeps track of an originator (e.g., the requestor, user, customer or caller, col. 18, ln. 59 to col. 19, ln. 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Liebowitz into the teachings of D'Amico in order to provide hub-less, full mesh connectivity, both fixed and dynamic bandwidth allocation, voice, video and data integration, support for frame relay and voice, support for protocols such as SNA, TCP/IP, and binary synchronous (BSC), and broadcast and multicast transmission capability, while being relatively less expensive than other fully meshed satellite communication systems.

Consider claim 35. Liebowitz further shows in Figs 10-12 read on the limitations of this claim, since the call detail record include other traffic volume container (frame relay PVCs, CIR, EIR, Ethernet and voice, etc., col. 19, ln. 59 to col. 20, ln. 17), the tariff dependent on the id being independent of the other traffic volume container.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2643

Page 10

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duc Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2643

4/2/04